

DATE MAILED:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING I	DATE .	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/815,456	12/31/91	BERIONT	W	90-3-8/6
,			WEBS	TER B (AMINER
VICTOR F. LC		26M1/0204		
GTE SERVICE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
40 SYLVAN RI WALTHAM, MA	02254	•	26	14 🖁

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

			ADVISORT	ACTION
X 1	HE PERIOD	FOR RESPONSE	· :	
a) [] is extende	ed to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection
b) (2	expires the event how	ree months from the vever, will the state	ne date of the final rejection or as o tory period for the response expire	f the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	The date of purposes	on which the respo of determining the	nse, the petition, and the fee have period of extension and the corres	er 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. It been filed is the date for the response and also the date for the ponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR ned statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
□ A	ppellant's Bri	ief is due in accord	lance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
		sponse to the final pplication in condit	rejection, filed ion for allowance:	has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1. 📐	The propos	sed amendments t	o the claim and /or specification wi	not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	a. Ther pres	re is no convincing sented.	showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) w	yhy the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
	b. 🗌 They	y raise new issues	that would require further consider	ation and/or search. (See Note).
	c. 🗌 The	y raise the issue o	new matter. (See Note).	
	d. The	ey are not deemed leal.	to place the application in better for	orm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	e. 🗌 The	ey present addition	al claims without cancelling a corre	sponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:			
		-w	•	
2. 🗌	Newly pro the non-all	pposed or amender lowable claims.	d claims would	be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
з. 🏻	Upon the f	filing an appeal, the ws:	proposed amendment 🔲 will be	entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will
	Claims allo			
	Claims reje	ected: _1-7		
		lowever;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A.
	Аррис	ants response na	s overcome the following rejection(5):
4. 💢	The affidat	vit exhibit or reque	st for reconsideration has been co	nsidered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. 🗍	The affidav		be considered because applicant	has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
	e proposed d		has has not been appro	ved by the examiner.
☐ Otl	161			•

Serial Number: 07/815456 -2-

Art Unit: 2614

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/31/94 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. The applicant argues that Whittington does not teach nor suggest replacing two concurrent signal samples with a sync symbol, however as stated in the rejection, the Whittington teaches a data link for cellular radio systems which replaces eight identical bits with a like number of synchronization bits and whether it is eight bits or two bits being replaced, the scope of the invention does not change. The applicant in his remarks, does do add any new evidence that would that in the examiner's view would set the claimed invention over the prior art.

Juger E. Webster (703) 308-6607

> STEPHEN CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 260